De-mystifying managed futures – why first class research and innovation are key to stay ahead of the game

01 November 2007

Managed futures have historically provided excellent diversification, strong returns and good downside protection

Continuous shifts in risk premiums create new trends

New derivative instruments, persistent research into trading and more efficient execution mean that the opportunity set is growing
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Executive summary

Managed futures traders – also called Commodity Trading Advisors (CTA) - mostly gain market exposures through global futures, forwards, and option contracts. They typically have a strong directional bias, i.e. net long or short exposures and can apply leverage. While there are a number of trading strategies among CTAs, some of the most popular ones focus on systematic trading rules which use technical data to anticipate future price movements.

CTA funds are a compelling choice for investors seeking to improve the risk-adjusted performance of both traditional portfolios (stocks and bonds) and funds of hedge funds. Such funds offer a low correlation to almost all other investments and can thus enhance the risk-adjusted performance of a diversified portfolio. Stress testing and a favourable distribution of returns are also a strong argument for allocating to managed futures. Institutional investors will be attracted by the transparency and the cash efficiency they offer. And the fact that most managed futures managers are well regulated, and have always been so, is an additional argument for investors demanding regulatory oversight.

Most managed futures strategies seek to benefit from trends. These trends are a persistent price phenomenon that stem from changes in risk premiums – the amount of return investors will demand to compensate the risks they are taking. Risk premiums vary massively over time in response to new market information, changes in economic environment or even intangible factors like shifts in investor sentiment. When risk premiums decrease or increase, underlying assets will have to be re-priced. Since investors typically have different expectations, large shifts in markets result over several months or even years as expectations are gradually adjusted. As long as there is uncertainty about the future, there will be trends for CTAs to capture.

Managed futures managers continuously research new technologies and trading approaches in order to identify and profit from these trends. This scientific approach to managed futures trading has spawned a wide variety of different trading strategies which today allow traders to capture even small trends. In addition, the introduction of electronic trading allows managed futures managers to execute their trades and, if needed, reduce their positions more quickly and with less cost, making even shorter-term and smaller scale opportunities accessible and thereby expanding the investable universe for the investment approach.

This combination of market growth, persistent trends and ongoing research means that there is still substantial room for development in the managed futures industry. However, as strategies become more sophisticated and the race to develop new techniques and trade infrastructure heats up, the gap between the most developed trading managers and the following pack will widen further.

Please note that in this research paper, the terms managed futures and CTAs are used interchangeably.
Introduction to managed futures

As with many trading approaches, managed futures trading came to prominence in the US in the 1980s following the liberalisation of financial markets. Global assets under management in managed futures has risen from around USD 5 billion at the end of the 1980s to over USD 150 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2007, according to Barclay Trading Group. The growth of the futures markets in the 1970s and the explosive development of technology have helped transform managed futures into one of the fastest growing hedge fund strategies.

Figure 1: Growth of the managed futures industry 1985 to 30 June 2007

Managed futures funds are pools of futures or forward contracts managed by professional money managers. They are similar to a mutual fund in that individual or institutional investors have a share, though the investments in this case are mainly futures and forwards contracts.

Unlike basic securities such as stocks and bonds which are held within mutual funds, a future or forward contract is a derivative instrument, the value of which depends on the value of an underlying instrument. While the notion of using derivatives to generate returns may create some associations with excessive leverage and risky investment, managed futures managers apply strict risk control and mostly trade with high quality counterparties on risk averse exchanges. Furthermore, futures can also be less risky than the underlying investments.

Futures markets have a number of properties that make them an attractive investment medium. First, they tend to be highly regulated by government appointed bodies, such as the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the National Futures Association (NFA) in the US. They also use clearing houses which guarantee transactions, thus removing counterparty risk. The high liquidity of futures markets is an added benefit – transaction costs are typically only a fraction of those charged in corresponding cash markets.
Growth of the global futures and forwards market

Until the late 1970s, the futures industry was dominated by agricultural markets, whereby market participants acted either as hedgers or speculators. Trading was referred to as “commodity trading” and this is also where the term “Commodity Trading Adviser (CTA)” comes from.

Organised futures markets began in the US with the opening of the Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT) in 1848 as American Midwest farmers trading with east coast merchants sought to establish a future value for their goods so they could ensure that the value they received offset their capital investment.

CBOT was founded by 82 grain merchants and the first exchange floor was above a flour store. The exchange was originally a cash market, where grain traders came to buy and sell supplies of flour, timothy seed and hay. The earliest futures contract in the US was recorded in 1851 for the forward delivery of 3,000 bushels of corn. Two years later, CBOT established the first standard futures contract on corn as a mechanism for farmers to reduce volatility of earnings and increase predictability of cash flows.

The farmers sold their positions to shrewd market participants that had the knowledge and financial resources to withstand the increase in their own volatility while being compensated for the extra risk they were assuming. This represents the very basic risk transfer mechanism from commercial interest to non-commercial interests and is the very foundation upon which all managed futures managers base their returns on. Today, a range of sophisticated strategies are used to identify the risk transfer and risk premium.

In 1975 CBOT introduced the first financial futures contract in the form of mortgage-backed certificates on the Governmental National Mortgage Association. Today, the forwards and futures market is dominated by financial futures offered around the globe. According to the Futures Industry Association (FIA), the total number of futures and options traded on exchanges around the world reached 11,859 billion contracts by the end of 2006, up 19% from the previous year. In fact, the pace of growth has been accelerating in recent years.

Figure 2: Growth in volume of global futures contracts

Source: Futures Industry Association (FIA).
As the chart above shows, more than 90% of all trade in exchange-traded derivatives is tied to interest rates or equity prices today and this situation is expected to persist over the next few years. Trading volumes have exploded in recent years as more and more exchanges introduced electronic trading and the cost of trading has fallen significantly. Today, trading advisors are active in over 150 different markets worldwide.

**History of managed futures**

The evolution of the Commodities Futures Trading Commission in 1974 was the catalyst for the rapid evolution of CTAs and the futures industry as a whole. In the beginning, the strategies were more fundamentally driven because the quantitative approaches and techniques that exist today had not yet been formalised. Computers, data providers such as Bloomberg and Reuters as well as quantitative/statistical models did not yet exist.

**Figure 3: Managed futures track record (January 1985 to September 2007)**

---

Source: Stark & Co., Inc. Performance in USD.

**1970s – classical trading patterns**

The first managed futures managers used classical technical trading patterns that were fairly simple in nature including “head and shoulders”, “support and resistance”, and “break-out” which have become less important and are now being combined with more scientific approaches.

- “Head and shoulders” is a chart formation, that rises to a peak and subsequently declines, then rises above the former peak and declines again and finally rises again, but not as high as the second peak and declines once more. The first and third peaks are seen as shoulders, whereas the second peak forms the head.
- “Support and resistance” is a chart formation that shows prices oscillating between upper and/or lower boundaries on more than one occasion.
- “Break-out strategy” looks for instances where the price breaks through support or resistance levels. When it breaks through a level of resistance, a long position is initiated; the opposite is true when it breaks through a support level. To implement such a strategy, the manager programmes computer algorithms saying that if the last close is higher than the highest close of the previous 100 days, then this creates a buy signal. Figure 4 shows an example of a break-out strategy.
Although simple, these charts provided a rough, but good tool to detect the direction of changes in risk premia and hence movements in market sentiment. Today, some discretionary traders still rely on interpretations of these chart patterns, while most systematic managers have formalised the patterns that they seek to detect.

**Beginning of 1980s – the age of the turtle traders**

In 1983, two famous commodity traders, Richard Dennis and William Eckhardt ran an experiment to determine whether trading ability was genetically inherited or whether it could be learned and taught. Eckhardt thought it was an innate skill, while Dennis believed that any person could be trained. To test the idea, they recruited 23 people screened for particular qualities from over 1000 applicants and trained them to be extraordinary traders in just two weeks. They ended up earning an average return of over 80 percent per year and generating profits of more than USD 100 million, proving Dennis right. This test also proved that successful trading can be achieved through the use of mechanical rules, i.e. markets can be profitably traded in a rule-based manner so long as those rules are applied consistently.

In 1989, the Wall Street Journal quoted Dennis as saying “We are going to grow traders just like they grow turtles in Singapore” and the group was nicknamed “turtle traders” from that day forward. They became one of the industry’s leading pioneers of mechanical trading systems and software.

Although the underlying “break-out” system was still chart based and traders bought when prices broke to new highs and sold when prices broke to new lows, it was possible to replicate it successfully because of the systematic nature of the strategy. The strategies that were once used gradually declined in profitability and those managers who did not evolve and adapt to the ever changing markets have gradually fallen by the wayside. The original rules of the “turtle traders” have been widely published but, while they were a highly sophisticated approach in the 1980s, technological and scientific progress since then have massively increased the spectrum of strategies available to managed futures traders.

**Mid/end 1980s – computerised chart analyses**

By mid 1980s, strategies such as “breakouts” or “simple moving averages” were being supplemented by using a 10-day look-back window, as it was easy to calculate them by hand. A simple or arithmetic moving average (SMA) is calculated by adding the closing price of a security for a certain number of time periods and then...
dividing this total by the number of time periods, i.e. it is the average price over a certain time period. For the calculation, each daily price is given equal weighting. Moving averages are typically used to identify the direction of price trends. When a short-term average (i.e. 15-day period SMA) crosses above a longer-term average (i.e. 50-day period SMA), this indicates upward momentum, whereas the opposite would indicate downward movement.

**Figure 5: Simple moving averages**

![Simple moving averages](image)

Source: RMF Investment Management.

During that period, managed futures traders started to use computers to analyse historical market prices. As a consequence, trading signals were defined algorithmically resulting in the automatic generation of both long and short signals. Although still simple, these programmes began to use variable inputs (parameters), ranging from time periods of just a few days to up to 200 days. This was the beginning of the AHL success story, which by 1990 was already making good use of the diverse range of available futures markets. By then, many of today’s most liquid financial futures contracts had been introduced, but computer-driven trading was still in its infancy and was only practiced by a small minority.

**Early 1990s – technological revolution**

By early 1990s, new analytical software such as TradeStation, and more importantly the technological revolution, had brought entire libraries of technical indicators to the trading floor. Many simple indicators (often with misleading names such as Stochastics, Momentum, RSI, Exponential Moving Average and MAC) had become readily available and were offered by most financial data vendors. This sparked a wave of strategy testing and development among aspiring system traders. Computerisation allowed traders to sample more data in a far shorter time period. This increased the scope of their trading, allowing them to perform such tasks as finding the most profitable moving average length for a price series.

**2000 – CTAs as a science**

By 2000, the success of systematically driven CTAs such as AHL was attracting researchers from a variety of scientific fields. They started researching continuous trading, price forecasting and portfolio optimisation, which contributed to the continuing success and sophistication of managed futures traders.
2004 – Europe overtakes the US

2004 was a difficult year for managed futures traders. As a result, it was the year that separated the wheat from the chaff. While European managed futures houses massively increased their research teams from roughly ten to 50-70 people on average and improved electronic trading, their US equivalents were left behind. Different from Europe, managed futures traders in the US were mainly global macro traders that ran a managed futures portfolio on the side. Hence their expertise lay more in trading financial markets and was less quantitatively driven. As a result, most of the top class managed futures traders can nowadays be found in Europe, where CTA traders keep integrating the latest research findings from Universities such as AHL working with the Oxford University in order to stay ahead of the game. This development is quite amazing, considering the fact that some of the first trading methodologies such as moving averages were invented in the US. But while the Europeans kept on researching new trading methods, the US traders decided to stick to their set strategies, arguing that while a strategy might not be successful now, time will change and the strategy will again be successful. This shows that technology plays a crucial role for managed futures trading.

Today – first class research

Today, CTAs are investment companies which need multi-billion dollar assets under management to fund their technology and research teams. System-driven trading represents the lion’s share of futures trading volume and CTA managers use a vast range of different trading techniques. The table below is not intended to be exhaustive, but gives a snapshot of some of the techniques used today.

Table 1: A sample of CTA trading methods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTA trading techniques</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial correlation analysis</td>
<td>Correlation of a variable with itself over successive time intervals. Managed futures traders use serial correlation to check to what degree past prices predict future prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading of volatility break-out</td>
<td>When the percentage price move of an asset exceeds a certain threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position measuring based on volatility</td>
<td>Positions are sized as a function of volatility. In a high volatility market environment, positions are scaled down and vice versa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional execution</td>
<td>Trading signals are placed in the market with pre-defined conditions attached to them, i.e. “buy at market” if “volatility is below x and price above 100”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term structure trading</td>
<td>Analysis of interest rate differentials as well as term structure premia in the markets. One implementation of this is the ever popular carry trade in the currency markets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal pattern trading</td>
<td>Predictive strategy that tries to time significant market reversals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability signals: position weighting</td>
<td>If statistically favourable probabilities of a directional move are measured, then position sizes are increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithmic trading/ high frequency trading/ execution robots</td>
<td>Traders are replaced by computers which execute the trades automatically, often generating very short-term (intra-day) trading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parametric approaches</td>
<td>Reduce the reliance on a particular time frame in order to derive more stable performance. Trading is also spread out to a larger time frame in order to reduce market footprint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic sector allocation</td>
<td>Allocation to different market sectors such as commodities or currencies are adjusted in size, depending on opportunities and/or trends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTA trading techniques (continued)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural finance</td>
<td>Strategies that rely on persistent errors in the market place driven by biases of the human behaviour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental methods</td>
<td>Econometric models that value certain markets in relationship to the economic cycle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below are some of the above mentioned strategies in more detail.

**Figure 6: Serial correlation analysis**
- Moving averages respond to serial correlation which are visible as trends
- Short-term moving averages that are higher than long-term moving averages trigger buying signals

![Serial correlation analysis](image1)

Source: RMF Investment Management.

**Figure 7: Trading of volatility break-out**
- The green line is the “expected drift”, i.e. assuming no volatility. That is where the manager should end up in one, two or three months assuming average performance every month
- The cone, i.e. the two lines in orange and blue, show one standard deviation from the expected path, both positive and negative. A performance of one standard deviation above the mean in one, two or three months would lift the manager to the upper line
- Conclusion: by calculating the cone, the manager can estimate the expected return of the trade by judging what the probability of a positive result versus a negative result would be.

![Volatility break-out](image2)

Source: RMF Investment Management.
Figure 8: Position measuring based on volatility
- Risk averse position sizing
- If short-term (red) volatility is higher, 100 contracts are traded
- If short-term volatility is lower, 200 contracts are traded

Source: RMF Investment Management.

Figure 9: Reversal pattern trading
- Buy and sell signals follow the same pattern
- Reversal refers to the trade opposing the price direction

Source: RMF Investment Management.
The competitive pressure in financial markets forces CTAs to continuously develop stronger strategies so as to stay ahead of the competition and the gradual erosion of returns that applies to all statistically driven trading systems.

Some people call managed futures black box trading. As the above description of the evolution of managed futures shows, this is misleading. A black box is an input/output device such as a transistor. Most users are not familiar with the logic inside that black box. The term black box suggests that CTAs trade strategies investors cannot understand. This is not the case. Within the investment world, CTAs have an unusually high degree of rule based (no ad hoc decision making) investment strategies. Profitable trading strategies are hard coded and often programmed in computer algorithms, such as the one mentioned for the break-out strategy.

Managers will be reluctant to divulge the exact composition of their trading models to prevent their proprietary ideas from being stolen. However, while a managed futures manager will not be asked to reveal the exact programming for his trades when being screened by a fund of hedge fund manager, it is crucial that the developer understands and explains his trading strategy and the associated risks. Only when the managed futures manager is adhering to a predefined strategy can he give the investors more comfort in evaluating past performance and in estimating future risk and returns.

In contrast to other investment styles, managed futures have almost no ad hoc trading decision. Compared to managed futures managers most traditional equity portfolio advisors such as mutual funds conclude their investment decisions from a changing mix of fundamentals, news and technical data. To this end, their cause and effect are much more unpredictable than the CTA models.

Research is key

The increasing liquidity of new instruments and markets such as credit derivatives, emerging markets, ETFs and swaps has generated many more trading opportunities for CTA managers, emphasising the importance of strong research capabilities. The research race is continuing and the entry level cost for new CTAs has become very high due to the institutional standard of computing and analytics. Fully automated trading systems have continued to develop since the introduction of electronic futures exchanges. As the list above shows, today’s systems are complex and use different techniques to analyse the vast amount of data available. The zero sum game of futures trading forces all participants to continuously research the behaviour of their peers and to adapt their strategy in an effort to stay ahead in the pursuit of excess returns.

Exposure across a wide range of sectors helps to smooth returns, as they often tend to exhibit different behavioural characteristics. The factors affecting the world commodity markets, for example, differ from those influencing financial futures. While long-term trends are usually driven by economic growth and stability in both cases, short or medium term movements in the commodity markets are sensitive to seasonal effects as well as sudden changes in supply or demand resulting from environmental or political factors. Commodities futures trading allows investors to reap gains from the sometimes fervent upward and downward price movements that result from the consequent uncertainty that frequently drives these markets.

The significant growth in the number and diversity of futures markets in recent years has facilitated a broadly diversified approach across geographical regions and asset classes. This approach aims to control risk by avoiding over-concentration within particular markets.
Sub-strategies within managed futures

The managed futures universe can be broadly divided into systematic and discretionary strategies. Systematic strategies make use of historical price data and/or historical relationship that can be tested to anticipate future price movements and rely heavily on computer generated, technical trading signals. Conversely, discretionary traders rely on the judgement of the manager and their expertise in a particular market to make investment decisions. Both methods have their advantages and disadvantages, however, they are complementary as they tend to make errors (i.e. lose money) at different points in time.

The more prevalent systematic approach relies on the application of statistical analysis to evaluate the movements of markets. Such information may include daily, weekly or monthly price fluctuations, volume variations, and changes in open interest. They rely heavily on computer generated trading signals to maintain a systematic and disciplined approach and can construct widely diversified portfolios by combining multiple systems and time frames to reduce overall volatility.

A number of sub-strategies can be identified within systematic trend-following strategies. The following focuses on three of them:

- **Trend following** – seek to capitalise on medium to long-term trends in a variety of markets
- **Trend reversal** – seek to capitalise on key turning points in liquid futures markets
- **Contrarian** (counter-trend) – aim to sell near market tops and buy at market bottoms

The chart below shows the entry points for the three different systematic trading strategies.

![Figure 10: Entry points of three different systematic trading strategies](chart.png)

Source: Man Investments. Schematic illustration.

Systematic trading is based on computerised quantitative models that use moving average prices, break-outs of price ranges or other technical rules to generate buy and sell signals for a set of markets. With the emergence of electronic trading, execution of these strategies is becoming increasingly automated.
Systematic trend-following strategies

Systematic trend followers are a sub-category within systematic strategies. They can pursue opportunities across many different time periods. Short-term trades typically last between three to five days, but they can be as short as intraday or as long as a month. These trades try to capture rapid moves and the managers base their activity on swift fluctuations in prices. They rely heavily on liquidity and high volatility for returns, and typically have a low correlation to long-term CTA managers. Unlike long-term CTAs, shorter term traders are often hampered by strong, persistent trends as these periods tend to offer fewer short-term price fluctuations. The time horizon for medium-term trades lasts on average twelve weeks and long-term trades typically exceed nine months.

The following is an example of how a managed futures trade implemented by a long-term systematic trend-follower might work. It demonstrates a simple channel breakout strategy applied to the gold futures market. The chart below shows a price channel created using 30 day high and low prices as represented by the blue and grey lines respectively. Long and short trading signals are generated as the price reaches the upper or lower boundaries.

Figure 11: 30-day price channel in the gold futures market

Managers will typically use a number of different signals in combination to determine trade entry and exit points. Furthermore, it is unlikely that allocation size will remain constant during the life of the trade as managers may vary the size and degree of leverage of their position based on contract volatility. In addition managers have to roll the contracts, which results in small additional transaction costs being incurred.

AHL, one of the world’s leading systematic managed futures traders, runs programmes that are quantitative and primarily directional in nature. Trading takes place around-the-clock and real-time price information is used to respond to price movements across a diverse range of global markets encompassing stock indices, bonds, currencies, short-term interest rates and commodities. The instruments traded are primarily futures contracts and foreign exchange and metal forwards. Investment rules are executed within a systematic framework.
Figure 12 below shows the systematic directional strategy applied to the Euro/US-dollar currency pair. One can see that this strategy is able to generate returns from both long and short positions. AHL scales down positions when market volatility rises and vice versa as part of its risk management process. In addition, portfolio risk is monitored on a daily basis to ensure that instrument, sector and regional exposures are within predefined limits.

Figure 12: Example of active trading in a single market – EUR/USD currency pair

Discretionary strategies

Discretionary managed futures managers apply opportunistic strategies drawing on both fundamental and technical market analysis. They rely less on computer generated signals and more on their experience and trading skills. Discretionary traders rely on fundamental analysis by studying factors that affect supply and demand of a particular commodity to predict future prices. These may cover issues as diverse as economic strength, governmental policies, domestic and foreign political events or the weather. Fundamental analysts believe that markets have a fair value to which they must converge over the medium term and seek to identify and profit from periods of over/under-valuation. As discretionary traders rely on human input, the number of opportunities that can be traded is smaller compared to systematic trading strategies.

CTAs benefit from changes in market perception of risk and return

In general, most managed futures managers tend to view price trends as a function of supply and demand for a particular commodity or financial instrument or as shifts in risk premium for different asset classes. There are a large number of factors that can lead to such shifts in risk premiums, such as the changing state of the economy, specific events, market news, or the emergence of information not yet incorporated in the current price. Market participants have different expectations of the future, so adjustments to expectations and the inclusion of new information in the price tends to be a gradual process.

CTAs often employ strategies that are constructed to take advantage of such movements. In most cases the strategies do not seek to identify the source of the change but rather to take advantage of the change in the prices themselves. This is an important factor regarding the long term profitability of managed futures, since
drivers and events continuously change over time and trying to identify an unknown source for an unknown event is a strategy that eventually becomes less stable as a forecasting tool. However, by identifying changes in risk premiums through prices, the strategy becomes more robust over time. Trend followers, for example, take advantage of the emergence of trends and dynamically manage exposure to such movements. In short, trend following strategies attempt to identify the beginning of a trend, take a position and exit it as it ends. Some managers apply stop-loss limits to limit losses. Positions can be built up for days, weeks or months and can be reduced quickly during adverse/highly volatile periods.

**Risk management plays a crucial role for CTA traders**

Managed futures managers – whether systematic or discretionary – allocate a significant amount of their time to risk management. The major risk monitoring measures and focus areas are the following:

- **Value-at-Risk (VaR)** - measures the expected maximum loss from an investment portfolio when future market conditions are similar to those in the model. The value is determined for a specific time interval and certain level of confidence.
- **Stress testing** - measures current market positions against historical price data. This allows the CTA manager to see how his current position sizes would have performed historically, especially during periods of market stress.
- **Implied volatility** - is a forward-looking measure of potential risk that is analysed for each market
- **Leverage** - if levels approach certain multiples of prevailing net asset value, a review is triggered
- **Margin-to-equity ratios** – if initial margin requirements relative to prevailing net asset value reach predefined levels, a review is undertaken that may result in a reduction in positions
- **Net exposures to sectors and different currencies**

But at the end of the day the infrastructure of the managed futures manager is relevant. Only managers that stay at the forefront of new research and trading ideas will be able to cope with the more and more difficult risk monitoring challenges that exist today.

**Electronic trading and slippage**

The introduction of electronic trading technology is having a positive impact on industry liquidity. Trades are being executed within couple of minutes of price sampling and the issuance of trade instructions nowadays and costs are falling. In recent years, this has helped managers to increase their assets under management while controlling slippage costs (the difference between the price at which trades are first identified and ultimately executed), as shown for AHL in figure 13 below. Temporary slippage fluctuations in a particular market are normal and can occur as a result of variations in liquidity or execution quality. However, a sustained and significant increase in overall slippage across all markets is a warning sign that the weight of capital being placed behind trades cannot be sustained without degrading returns. Consequently, CTA managers monitor slippage closely in order to assess execution quality as well as gauge capacity, which is a crucial consideration for any alternative investment approach premised on the exploitation of a recurrent market inefficiency. Despite a steady increase in assets under management over the years, AHL has successfully contained overall slippage within a relatively tight band. As assets under management have increased, AHL has been able to extend trading opportunities by taking advantage of the steady proliferation in futures markets and contracts, and by developing and diversifying its systems. For example the introduction of an order streaming process improved order placement and execution and has helped in managing slippage. AHL is able to draw on extensive slippage data, analysis and experience to determine capacity limits. The manager’s assessment is that there is
quite considerable headroom to manage greater assets, even discounting the potential for systems development and the addition of new markets to its portfolios.

**Figure 13: Electronic trading enables reduction of slippage per lot**

![Graph showing reduction of slippage per lot over time.](chart)

Source: Man database/AHL. All data have been extracted from the underlying AHL trading system. The rolling 3 month slippage per lot figures are based upon daily dollar slippage per lot and the daily absolute number of lots traded. Prior to 13 October 1993 the data were stored in a different format and so may not be as reliable as the data after that date. ¹Please note that the funds under management is at 30 June 2007 and that slippage data are as at 31 August 2007. Latest data available.

---

**Trading on margin, leverage and notional funding – a cash efficient trading methodology**

**Trading on margin**

Futures and forwards are traded on margin – meaning that only a small deposit is required to take a position. Depending on the volatility of a commodity, margins can vary between 0.05% and 5% of the notional value of the commodity. One can therefore achieve 100% investment exposure with just a fraction of the capital required through the inherent leverage of futures contracts. This frees up capital which can be invested elsewhere or used to adjust the leverage of one’s portfolio to match the client’s risk appetite. Hedge funds and CTAs who have no interest in the delivery of the physical commodities, but who use offsetting trades, make active use of the cash efficiency of futures contracts through leveraging.

**Leverage**

The leverage is the ratio between the actual notional contract value and the deposited cash in the broking account. An investor in T-Bond futures who chooses to deposit 10% of the actual underlying commodity has the following leverage. One T-bond contract has a notional value of USD 100’000 and can be purchased based on a deposit of USD 10’000 at the broker. If the USD 100’000 bond moves by 1% equalling USD 1000, the futures investor has earned or lost 10% on his USD 10’000 deposit, which reflects 10 times leverage. If the trader had decided to purchase two contracts with the USD 10’000 deposit the leverage would have been 20-fold.
Notional funding

Notional funding is a structure predominantly used in the hedge fund sector, and in particular applied by CTA investors, to make the most efficient use of the capital invested. The concept suggests replacing some of the equity invested with supplemental funding that is neither cash nor other assets, such as trading on margin. The model was further enhanced when managed accounts became a common tool in the hedge fund sector, helping investors to take advantage of the benefits of levering up low-risk assets. The investment is effectively the margin assets plus a security cash cushion. The margin to equity ratio measures how much of an investor’s money is tied up to meet margin requirements. A margin to equity ratio of 20% indicates that only a fifth of the investor’s investment or managed account balance is tied up in margin. Most managers target an annual return volatility of between 10 and 15% per annum. This translates to a gross leverage for the average manager of around 300-800% of NAV, or a margin to equity ratio (ME ratio) of 5-15%. These will fluctuate in accordance to prevailing market conditions. For example, in turbulent markets characterised by high volatility and sudden reversals (such as July and August 2007), directional managers significantly reduced their market exposures.

It is important that investors understand the approach and consequences of notional funding as it may change the return on an investment. If a hedge fund has a minimum account size of USD 5 million and only USD 2 million is used as margin, the client will often wish to merely deposit USD 2.5 million and instruct the managers to trade the account as though it were fully funded at USD 5 million. Notional funding may however be a double-edged sword.

During a drawdown, accounts that are notionally funded will always suffer larger percentage declines than fully funded accounts. Notional funding increases the volatility of the returns in percentage of the invested capital. A cash investment of USD 2.5 million with another USD 2.5 million as notional funding effectively doubles the amount invested for a total trading level of USD 5 million. So, a gain of USD 1 million would represent a 40% return on the notional capital while a loss of USD 1 million would represent a negative return of -40%.

Technically, there are two ways to incorporate the notional funding concept. The first is called ‘cash free-up’, which applies when an existing trading level is maintained while cash is withdrawn and replaced by a notional amount. The withdrawn capital may then be reinvested in order to increase total exposure but levered up by the ratio between the trading level and the notional capital. If the notional funding concept uses capital free-up then one should keep in mind that there are always opportunity costs, and this freed cash should at least outperform the risk-free rate (i.e. T-bills). The other method would be to boost the trading level by increasing the notional amount. Both methods are accepted in the industry and are widely used. The hedge fund will accept notional funding depending on the assessment of the availability of market opportunities for the fund.

With the concept of notional funding, an investor may increase his exposure considerably and thus enhance profits. Notional funding is superior to traditional ways of levering a portfolio from a cost perspective, as it does not require credit lines. Financing is in fact free without reducing the profit opportunities. With this approach a fund of hedge funds provider may substantially enhance its cash management, as the cash saved with notional funding can be invested into other strategies, which is beneficial as long as its return is higher than the return of T-bills. Nevertheless, if such an approach is run by a single hedge fund or a fund of hedge funds, a state-of-the-art risk management system is essential for monitoring the account on a daily basis, the margin-to-equity ratio and the exposure in order to assess the risk properly and intervene according to pre-defined risk parameters.
Performance characteristics of managed futures

The managed futures style is characterised by large return distributions. Figure 14 shows the monthly distribution of the Stark 300 Trader Index, which is compiled using the top 300 trading programmes from Stark’s database of CTA programmes. The top 300 programmes are determined on a quarterly basis based on assets under management. For the period January 1994 to July 2007 the monthly distribution of returns for this index ranged from -6.39% to 8.16%.

Figure 14: Monthly distribution of Stark 300 Trader Index (January 1994 to July 2007)

The distribution above only reflects historical aggregated CTA performance, however it does not capture the entire range of possible returns that are typical for a single CTA manager. Figure 15 shows the results of Monte Carlo modelling using the AHL Diversified Programme. The probability distribution shows that the expected annual return ranges from around -20% to over 50%. It also shows that the distribution of returns is positively skewed. This is an attractive feature of trend-following CTA programmes. In addition, the blue lines show that all of AHL Diversified’s annual returns have fallen within the expected probability distribution. The wide range of possible returns is indicative of CTA managers’ high volatility targets.

Source: Bloomberg. Performance in USD.

Please see page 25 in the appendix regarding all the indices used on the following pages.
Compared to other hedge fund styles, managed futures have the highest return potential but also high volatility and downside deviation. They also have lower Sharpe and Sortino ratios than other hedge fund styles, reflecting their greater volatility. However, as managed futures typically have a low correlation to these hedge fund styles, the strategy tends to enhance the overall Sharpe and Sortino ratio of a diversified fund of hedge fund portfolio. (see section “Diversification benefits of managed futures” below where the correlation and long term benefits of managed futures will be explored in greater detail).

Investors should also be reminded that Sharpe and Sortino ratios are not the only statistical measures one should look at. As managed futures are not normally distributed, these statistical figures may not correctly capture the complete risk/return profile of this style. Hence higher moments such as skewness and kurtosis should also be taken into account.

**Diversification benefits of managed futures**

The developments over the last twenty years have made managed futures a specialised but increasingly significant asset class. Importantly, high quality managed futures funds are capable of achieving attractive returns with risks comparable to those of a traditional stock investment. Furthermore, managed futures can enhance the diversification of a portfolio and therefore play an important role in improving the risk and reward characteristics of that portfolio, whether traditional or alternative.
**CTAs provide downside protection due to low correlation**

CTAs are a worthwhile addition to a portfolio. Because managed futures provide an opportunity to profit from both upward and downward moves in the underlying assets and cover a wide range of commodity and financial contracts, it is possible to achieve a low level of correlation with both alternative and traditional forms of investment, as can be seen in the figures below.

**Figure 16: Correlation of hedge fund styles and FoF (January 1994 to September 2007)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Equity hedge</th>
<th>Event driven</th>
<th>Global macro</th>
<th>Relative value</th>
<th>Managed futures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managed futures</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative value</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global macro</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event driven</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity hedge</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Man Investments and Bloomberg. Performance in USD.

**Figure 17: Correlation of stocks and bonds (January 1994 to September 2007)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>World stocks</th>
<th>World bonds</th>
<th>Managed futures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managed futures</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World bonds</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World stocks</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Man Investments and Bloomberg. Performance in USD.

Optimal diversification can be achieved when there is no, or only low, correlation between the constituent elements of a diversified portfolio. The less correlated to other hedge fund styles and traditional investments the returns of a CTA fund are when these struggle, the more value the CTA can add in a diversified portfolio. Figure 18 below shows that managed futures generated returns quite independently from fund of hedge funds consisting of equity hedge, relative value, event driven and global macro during the ten worst months for them.
Furthermore, managed futures have proven that they are able to protect capital during market shocks, such as when the Internet Computer Technology (ICT) bubble burst or during the Russian credit crisis.

The high correlation of managed futures to global macro shown in the figures above is due to the fact that the differentiation between global macro and managed futures is sometimes blurred. Within the HFRI Macro Index roughly 20% can be considered systematic managed futures managers, whereas the remaining 80% are discretionary traders. But as they also rely on models to a certain extent, their performance can be fairly similar to managed futures performance.
The above shows that managed futures have the potential to provide significant downside protection during periods of market turbulence.

**Managed futures in a diversified fund of hedge fund portfolio**

The below table shows that adding 20% managed futures to a diversified fund of hedge fund portfolio consisting of four hedge fund styles (i.e. equity hedge, relative value, event driven, and global macro) can have a positive impact on the distribution of monthly returns and significantly reduce fat tail risk.

**Table 2: Diversified fund of hedge fund portfolio with/without managed futures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January 1994 to September 2007</th>
<th>HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index</th>
<th>80% FoF/ 20% MF</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ann. return</td>
<td>7.86%</td>
<td>7.78%</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann. volatility</td>
<td>5.66%</td>
<td>5.16%</td>
<td>-0.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann. downside deviation</td>
<td>3.69%</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>-0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe ratio</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sortino ratio</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>-7.47%</td>
<td>-4.34%</td>
<td>3.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>6.85%</td>
<td>5.62%</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess kurtosis</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-3.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Man Investments and Bloomberg. Performance in USD. Please note that the green colour represents favourable changes, whereas the red colour reflects unfavourable changes.

The figures show that the inclusion of managed futures has no major impact on the returns of the portfolio. On the other hand, several of the statistics, such as downside risk, kurtosis, negative skewness and maximum drawdown are improved. To conclude, the portfolio risk is reduced significantly at the expense of a very small decrease in returns.
Managed futures versus traditional investments

CTAs not only improve the risk/return profile of diversified hedge fund portfolios, but they can also enhance the characteristics of a traditional portfolio. The correlation of managed futures with equities and bonds is time-varying. It is positive during up markets and negative during down markets. This can be seen in figure 21 below.

Figure 21: 24 months rolling correlation of managed futures to world equities (January 1994 to September 2007)

Source: RMF Investment Management, Stark & Co., Inc., and Bloomberg. Performance in USD.

The figures show that while managed futures have a low correlation to world equities, they are slightly correlated to bonds in the long run. During market shocks for equities, managed futures tend to generate returns by being short equities and long bonds, but they also benefit from simultaneous strong trends in other sectors. As managed futures are trend followers, they show the highest returns in periods of best/worst months for equities,
as markets with strongest up/down movements provide the best market opportunity for them to catch a trend. Negative trends are usually stronger than positive ones. Hence, managed futures should generate more profit in falling than in rising markets.

The below chart shows that managed futures are fairly resistant to equity market shocks. During the ten worst drawdowns for world equities since 1994, managed futures touched negative territory only once in August 1997. Apart from that, they have always been able to generate positive returns and thus to protect capital.

**Figure 23: Managed futures during ten worst months for world stocks since January 1994**

These characteristics imply, and table 3 shows, that adding 20% managed futures to a portfolio of equities can significantly improve the risk/return profile of the portfolio.

**Table 3: World stocks with/without managed futures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January 1994 to September 2007</th>
<th>World stocks</th>
<th>80% WS/ 20% MF</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ann. return</td>
<td>7.47%</td>
<td>7.63%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann. volatility</td>
<td>13.17%</td>
<td>10.48%</td>
<td>-2.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann. downside deviation</td>
<td>9.62%</td>
<td>7.44%</td>
<td>-2.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe ratio</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sortino ratio</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>-13.94%</td>
<td>-9.52%</td>
<td>4.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>8.09%</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
<td>-1.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess kurtosis</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg and Stark & Co., Inc. Performance in USD. Please note that green represents favourable changes, whereas red reflects unfavourable changes.

Managed futures also provided protection during the worst months for world bonds, although to a lesser degree than for worst equity months.
Will managed futures be able to protect capital going forward?

It is expected that managed futures will also be able to protect capital during adverse market conditions in the future. Whenever there are market panics, strong trends in markets emerge, thereby creating profitable trading opportunities for CTA managers. While stock corrections can be protected with long bond positions (flight to quality reaction), protection also comes from other sectors such as currencies and commodities which offer offsetting opportunities during volatile markets.

However, one should not forget that managed futures cannot protect against adverse market conditions within a short period of time. If markets suddenly sell off after a strong rally, managed futures funds will lose money. It usually takes them between one and two weeks to reposition themselves. However, if a bear market lasts for several months, managed futures can benefit from this situation by building up short positions. Nevertheless, fast reversals can be partially protected with exposures into other markets or with short-term strategies. Quite often, managed futures systems are already positioned short in crisis scenarios, even before the final price collapses.

Conclusion

Managed futures have a proven long term performance track record. This, alongside with features such as high liquidity, strong regulations, low or no counterparty risk, transparency and cash efficiency, combine to create an appealing investment proposition on a standalone basis.

However, while managed futures may be of interest as a stand alone investment, they really add value as a portfolio diversifier. When combined to a fund of hedge fund portfolio or to equities and bonds, managed futures substantially reduce downside deviation and worst drawdown, thereby smoothing the overall risk/return profile.

Additionally, the futures industry is evolving as new technologies and trading approaches are continuously developed. This process is driven by continuous research and requires persistent investment in infrastructure and systems. As a result, it is creating clear economies of scale and constantly widening the gap between the largest and most established players and the rest of the industry while creating massive barriers to entry to new players.

An investor considering an allocation to managed futures should view them as a long-term investment, with a holding period of at least three to five years. A manager’s track record, reputation and experience should all be considered when selecting a CTA manager. Alternatively, investors can obtain a CTA allocation by investing into a fund of hedge fund portfolio that includes managed futures.
## Appendix

### Indices used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style/security</th>
<th>Index name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managed futures (MF)</td>
<td>Stark 300 Trader Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity hedge (EH)</td>
<td>HFRI Equity Hedge Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative value (RV)</td>
<td>HFRI Relative Value Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event driven (ED)</td>
<td>HFRI Event Driven Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global macro (GM)</td>
<td>HFRI Macro Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund of hedge funds (FoHF)</td>
<td>HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World stocks (WS)</td>
<td>MSCI World Total Return Index (hedged to USD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World bonds (WB)</td>
<td>Citigroup WGB Index (hedged to USD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note: As the investable hedge fund indices only have a track record going back to 2003, the non-investable indices have been used. Due to survivorship and backfilling biases, only the time period starting in January 1994 has been considered. There is no guarantee of trading performance and past performance is no indication of current or future performance/results.

### Important information

In preparing this publication, we have relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all information available from public sources or which was provided to us or otherwise reviewed by us. We do not assume any liability in the case of incorrectly reported or incomplete information. This material is proprietary information of Man Investments and its affiliates and may not be reproduced or otherwise disseminated in whole or in part without prior written consent from Man Investments. Please be aware that investment products involve investment risks, including the possible loss of the principal amount invested. Furthermore, we recommend you to consult your bank, investment and/or tax adviser. Man Investments and/or any of its affiliates may have an investment in the described investment products.